

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,193	10/09/2003	Cheryl L. Panasik	71-837-1	7880
7.	590 10/26/2005		EXAMINER	
Steven W. Weinrieb			ACKUN, JACOB K	
SCHWARTZ & Crystal Plaza C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway			3723	
Arlington, VA	22202		DATE MAILED: 10/26/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,193	PANASIK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob K. Ackun Jr.	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING II. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	<u>August 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	· ·	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/681,193 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

1. Upon further review the restriction requirement is withdrawn. It appears that the claims have been drafted in such a manner that a meaningful restriction can not be made. Accordingly all of the claims have been examined in this office action.

- 2. The drawings are objected to under the appropriate Rules since they do not show all of the features of the claims. The drawings should show all of the choices in the Markush grouping in claims such as claim 14 or the feature(s) should be deleted from the claims.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 27-28 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite because they require the rearward flank portions to be substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the shank while at the same time requiring an angular relationship between the same rearward flank portions and the longitudinal axis of the shank. This is inconsistent. Furthermore claim 27 specifies that the rear inner flank surface be disposed at a steeper angle with respect to the longitudinal axis than the rear outer flank surface. This is even more inconsistent with the "substantially perpendicular" recitation of claim 26. In another example of this indefiniteness claim 28 is inconsistent with the claims from which claim 28 depends. For example only, the range 0-40 includes the possibility of 0. This is not consistent with claim 27 that requires an angle, and even a steeper angle for the inner flank surface than for the outer flank surface.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/681,193 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Reiland, Brown et al, Daoud, Ansingh, Payne, Bradshaw, Whitney, Zayat Jr., Parsons or Barmore (all cited by the examiner). The applied references are considered to clearly indicate that neither a threaded screw fastener having a dual drive means nor a tool having means thereon to drive one or both of the dual drive means of the fastener is the invention of the applicant. Moreover, even if not expressly recited in a particular reference it would have been obvious for the convenience of a user of the tools to provide the inventions of the prior art with any missing features such as rounded surfaces, a matching tool to drive a particular fastener, a matching fastener for a particular tool, a Phillips drive means on a fastener or on the tool to drive the fastener, washer members or a hexagonally configured drive on either fastener or tool therefor. Each of these features by itself is conventional in the relevant art as some of the cited references clearly show.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,193 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

8. Claims 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Grossberndt et al, Koenig et al, Schuster or Lee et al, (again as cited by the examiner). Each reference teaches fasteners with forward and rearward flank portions and with at least inner and outer portions for each of the forward and rearward flank portions, the inner and outer portions having the angular relationships claimed. Additionally the references teach some of the flank portions having angles of substantially zero.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob K. Ackun Jr. whose telephone number is (571)272-4418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8.30AM-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571)272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jacob K. Ackun Jr. **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3723